



HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION DIVISION  
4200 S. John Young Parkway  
Orlando, Florida 32839  
(407) 836-7930 Fax (407) 836-7714

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

TO: Mr. Ron Queen  
Johnson Bros. Corporation  
P.O. Box 793  
Bartow, FL 33831

DATE: March 9, 2005

SUBJECT: General Contractor's Performance Evaluation  
Riverside Acres Subdivision Arch Pipe Rehab.

We are sending you:  Plans  Report  Diskette(s)  Specifications  
 Sketches/Legal Descriptions  Quote

COPIES	DESCRIPTION
--------	-------------

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:

- For Approval  For Your Use  As Requested  Make Corrections Noted
- Amend & Resubmit  Return Originals  For Final Processing

Ron,

Attached is the performance evaluation for the Riverside project. Note that an Outstanding rating for any item, much less the "overall" item, does not occur with great frequency. Thanks for a great job!!!

*Shawn Kennedy*

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION - CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS			1. CONTRACT NUMBER Y3-763	
PART 1 - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA				
2. CONTRACTOR (Name, address and ZIP code)  Johnson Bros. Corporation P.O. Box 793 Bartow, FL 33831				
3. TYPE OF CONTRACT (Check)	A. ADVERTISED X		4. COMPLEXITY OF WORK	
	B. NEGOTIATED <input type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)		<input type="checkbox"/> DIFFICULT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE	
5. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK  Riverside Acres Subdivision Arch Pipe Rehabilitation				
6. FISCAL DATA	A. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$ 2,966,212.65	B. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATION \$ 390,549.67	C. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED: \$ N/A	D. NET AMOUNT PAID OR DUE CONTRACTOR \$ 3,356,762.32
7. SIGNIFICANT DATES	A. N.T.P. DATE: 10/27/2003	B. ORIGINAL CONTRACT FINAL COMPLETION: 10/27/2005	C. REVISED CONTRACT FINAL COMPLETION: N/A	D. DATE WORK ACCEPTED SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION: 10/9/2004
8. TYPE AND EXTENT OF SUBCONTRACTING				
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACT (Check appropriate box)				
9. PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS	OUTSTANDING	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	
A. QUALITY OF WORK	✓			
B. TIMELY PERFORMANCE	✓			
C. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT		✓		
D. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS	✓			
10. OVERALL EVALUATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING <input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain on reverse)				
11. EVALUATED BY				
A. DEPARTMENT (Type or Print) ORANGE COUNTY HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION DIVISION				
B. NAME AND TITLE (Type or Print) JULIE R. NADITZ, P.E., INTERIM MANAGER		C. SIGNATURE <i>Julie R. Naditz</i>		D. DATE 3/8/05
12. REVIEWED BY DIVISION MANAGER				
B. NAME AND TITLE (Type or Print) MICHAEL C. WEHRFRITZ, ASST. PROJ. MGR.		C. SIGNATURE <i>Michael Wehrfritz</i>		D. DATE 3/8/5
B. NAME AND TITLE (Type or Print) FRANK BROWN, SENIOR INSPECTOR		C. SIGNATURE <i>Frank Brown</i>		D. DATE 3-2-05

( SEE COMMENTS THAT ARE ATTACHED )

10. Explanation for Unsatisfactory or Outstanding Rating. (Attach supporting documentation):

**A. Quality of Work:**

The contractor's work was extremely good.

**B. Timely Performance:**

The project was completed one year early.

**D. Compliance with Safety Standards:**

The contractor was very sensitive to safety related issues.